IJAIEMS International Journal of AI, Engineering and Management Studies

International Journal of
AI, Engineering and Management Studies

Green Supply Chain Management and Corporate Sustainability Performance: An Integrated Organizational Perspective

S. Sahoo and L. Vijayvargy
Independent researcher, USA

Abstract

Increasing environmental concerns, resource scarcity, regulatory requirements and stakeholder expectations have encouraged organizations to adopt sustainable supply chain management practices. Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) integrates environmental considerations into procurement, production, transportation, distribution and product recovery activities. Beyond environmental protection, GSCM can influence organizational efficiency, financial performance, operational resilience and social responsibility. However, the successful implementation of green supply chain practices requires coordinated efforts across organizational departments, suppliers, customers and other stakeholders. This paper examines the relationship between Green Supply Chain Management and corporate sustainability performance from an integrated organizational perspective. It develops a conceptual framework considering green procurement, eco-design, sustainable manufacturing, green logistics, reverse logistics, supplier collaboration and internal environmental management as important dimensions of GSCM. The study also examines the influence of organizational leadership, environmental commitment, technological capabilities and stakeholder participation on sustainable supply chain implementation. Corporate sustainability performance is considered through environmental, economic, social and operational dimensions. The discussion draws on existing empirical studies and research reviews to explain the mechanisms through which green supply chain practices may contribute to organizational sustainability. The proposed framework emphasizes the importance of integrating environmental objectives into corporate strategy, supplier relationships, operational processes and performance management. The study provides conceptual and managerial insights for organizations seeking to improve supply chain responsibility, resource efficiency and long-term competitiveness.

Index Terms— Green Supply Chain Management, Corporate Sustainability, Organizational Performance, Green Procurement, Sustainable Manufacturing, Reverse Logistics, Environmental Management, Supply Chain Integration.

Publication Date : 05.11.2026

I. INTRODUCTION

Growing environmental concerns and increasing pressure on natural resources have made sustainability an important consideration in modern business management. Organizations are increasingly expected to manage their economic activities while reducing environmental damage and addressing social responsibilities. Traditional

supply chain management primarily focuses on cost reduction, operational efficiency, product availability and customer satisfaction. However, environmental regulations, changing customer expectations and sustainability requirements have encouraged organizations to incorporate environmental considerations into supply chain operations.

Supply chains involve multiple interconnected activities, including raw material procurement, manufacturing, packaging, transportation, distribution, product consumption and end-of-life management. These activities can contribute to energy consumption, greenhouse gas emissions, waste generation, water utilization and depletion of natural resources. Consequently, sustainability cannot be achieved by considering the environmental performance of individual organizations alone. It requires coordinated environmental responsibility across suppliers, manufacturers, logistics providers, distributors and customers.

Green Supply Chain Management has emerged as an important organizational approach for integrating environmental considerations into supply chain activities. It extends conventional supply chain management by incorporating green purchasing, eco-design, cleaner production, sustainable transportation, waste reduction and product recovery. Balon [1] identified important GSCM pressures, practices and performance dimensions through an integrative literature review. The review highlights the influence of government regulations, corporate responsibility, green markets and environmental management on organizational adoption of GSCM.

The implementation of GSCM is increasingly associated with corporate sustainability performance. Organizations may benefit from reduced material consumption, improved resource utilization, lower waste generation, operational improvements and stronger stakeholder relationships. However, the benefits are not always immediate because green technologies, supplier development, employee training and sustainable infrastructure may require additional investment.

Holling and Backhaus [2] examined the relationship between green supply chain management practices and firm performance through a meta-analysis of published research. Their findings indicated a positive relationship between GSCM practices and organizational performance. Galdos-Urbizu et al. [3] also investigated the relationship between green supply chain practices and corporate environmental performance and highlighted the importance of environmental investment recovery and related organizational practices.

Despite these developments, organizations continue to face difficulties in integrating environmental objectives throughout their operations. Limited financial resources, supplier resistance, inadequate environmental knowledge, technological limitations and inconsistent sustainability measurement may restrict implementation. Therefore, examining GSCM from an integrated organizational perspective is important for understanding how environmental initiatives contribute to long-term corporate sustainability.

This study examines the relationship between Green Supply Chain Management and corporate sustainability performance by considering environmental practices, internal organizational capabilities, supplier relationships and stakeholder participation. It aims

to explain how organizations can integrate sustainability objectives into procurement, production, logistics and product recovery activities while improving overall operational performance.

The primary objective of this study is to examine the major dimensions of Green Supply Chain Management and their organizational applications. It also explores the relationship between GSCM implementation and corporate sustainability performance, with particular attention to environmental, economic, social and operational outcomes. The study further examines how leadership commitment, supplier collaboration, environmental awareness and technological capabilities influence the adoption of green supply chain practices.

The research contributes to the existing GSCM literature by connecting environmental supply chain practices with broader corporate sustainability objectives. It emphasizes that green supply chain implementation should not be treated as an isolated environmental initiative but as an organizational strategy involving leadership, procurement, manufacturing, logistics, supplier relationships and performance assessment.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Green Supply Chain Management refers to the integration of environmental considerations into supply chain activities throughout the product and service lifecycle. It extends traditional supply chain management by incorporating environmental objectives into sourcing, product development, production, transportation, distribution, consumption and recovery processes. The development of GSCM is associated with increasing environmental awareness, regulatory requirements, stakeholder expectations and the need for responsible resource utilization.

Earlier environmental management initiatives often concentrated on individual organizational processes, such as pollution control, waste reduction or energy conservation. GSCM expands this approach by connecting environmental practices across different supply chain participants. Balon [1] identified eco-design, internal environmental management, waste management, green purchasing and product recovery as important GSCM practices. These practices demonstrate that supply chain sustainability requires coordination across multiple organizational activities.

Green procurement involves selecting materials, products and services based on environmental considerations alongside traditional purchasing criteria such as cost, quality and delivery reliability. Organizations may evaluate suppliers according to their environmental management systems, energy utilization, waste management practices, regulatory compliance and resource efficiency. Supplier environmental assessment helps organizations identify risks associated with environmentally harmful materials and production processes. Long-term supplier collaboration can also support environmental improvement through technical assistance, information sharing and joint planning.

Supplier participation is particularly important because the environmental performance of a finished product is influenced by activities occurring outside the organization. Vijayvargy, Thakkar and Agarwal [4] investigated GSCM practices among Indian organizations and examined the importance of organizational characteristics and

supplier-related factors. Their research highlights the significance of management support and supplier evaluation in green supply chain adoption.

Eco-design integrates environmental considerations into product development and design decisions. The approach considers material selection, product durability, energy requirements, packaging, repairability, recyclability and disposal requirements. Products designed with lower material consumption and improved recyclability may reduce environmental impacts throughout their lifecycle. Eco-design also encourages collaboration between design, engineering, procurement, manufacturing and marketing departments.

Sahoo and Vijayvargy [5] examined GSCM practices and organizational performance in Indian manufacturing firms. Their findings identified eco-design as an important factor associated with operational performance in the organizations investigated. This suggests that environmentally responsible product design can contribute to improvements in manufacturing and resource utilization.

Green manufacturing focuses on reducing environmental impacts generated during production activities. Important practices include cleaner production, energy-efficient equipment, waste minimization, responsible chemical management, water conservation and material recovery. Organizations can improve manufacturing sustainability by identifying inefficient processes and adopting technologies that reduce unnecessary resource consumption. Green manufacturing also depends on employee participation and management commitment because environmental improvements must be reflected in production planning, equipment management and quality control.

Green logistics and distribution management focus on reducing environmental impacts generated during transportation, warehousing, packaging and product distribution. Transportation operations contribute to energy consumption and emissions, particularly when routes are inefficient or vehicles are not utilized effectively. Organizations may improve logistics sustainability through route planning, transportation consolidation, appropriate vehicle selection, efficient packaging and coordination with distribution partners. The effectiveness of green logistics depends on transportation infrastructure, geographical conditions, delivery requirements and organizational coordination.

Reverse logistics involves the movement of used products, returned goods, packaging materials and manufacturing waste from customers or downstream supply chain participants back to organizations or recovery facilities. It supports product reuse, recycling, refurbishment, remanufacturing and responsible disposal. Investment recovery focuses on recovering economic value from materials, equipment and products that would otherwise become waste. Galdos-Urbizu et al. [3] identified investment recovery as an important GSCM practice associated with corporate environmental performance. Reverse logistics therefore creates opportunities to connect environmental responsibility with material recovery and resource efficiency.

GSCM practices can influence organizational performance through several interconnected mechanisms. Green procurement can improve material selection and supplier responsibility, while eco-design can support resource-efficient products. Green manufacturing can reduce waste and improve production efficiency, and green logistics

can contribute to more responsible transportation and distribution. Reverse logistics further supports material recovery and waste reduction. Sahoo and Vijayvargy [5] examined these relationships in Indian manufacturing organizations and reported that different green supply chain practices were associated with different performance dimensions.

Li and Zhang [6] examined the relationship between green supply chain management and corporate performance using meta-analytic structural equation modelling. Their research considered environmental, economic, operational and social performance, demonstrating the importance of evaluating GSCM through multiple organizational outcomes rather than a single performance indicator.

Existing studies have therefore established the importance of green procurement, eco-design, green production, reverse logistics and environmental management. However, organizational implementation requires coordination across multiple business functions. Green procurement may be ineffective when production processes do not support material efficiency. Similarly, environmentally responsible manufacturing may produce limited supply chain benefits when transportation and product recovery activities remain inefficient. There is consequently a need to understand how leadership, organizational culture, supplier relationships, technological capabilities and environmental commitment influence the effectiveness of GSCM practices.

III. THEORETICAL FOUNDATION AND CONCEPTUAL PERSPECTIVE

The Resource-Based View provides an important theoretical foundation for understanding how Green Supply Chain Management can contribute to organizational competitiveness. According to this perspective, organizations develop competitive advantages through the effective utilization of valuable resources and capabilities. In the context of GSCM, environmental knowledge, sustainable technologies, supplier relationships, resource-efficient manufacturing processes and environmental management capabilities can become important organizational resources. Organizations that develop strong environmental capabilities may improve operational efficiency and differentiate their products through responsible production and supply chain practices.

Kamra et al. [7] reviewed the relationship between green supply chain management and sustainability performance through a resource-based perspective. Their discussion connects green supply chain practices with environmental, economic and social performance, emphasizing the importance of organizational resources and capabilities in sustainability implementation.

Stakeholder Theory provides another perspective for understanding organizational adoption of GSCM. Organizational decisions are influenced by the expectations and interests of different stakeholder groups, including customers, employees, suppliers, shareholders, regulators, local communities and environmental organizations. Customers may demand environmentally responsible products, while regulators may impose environmental standards and reporting requirements. Suppliers and business partners also influence the availability of environmentally preferable materials and technologies. Organizations that consider stakeholder expectations during supply chain planning may

develop stronger environmental responsibility and more sustainable business relationships.

Institutional Theory further explains why organizations adopt green supply chain practices. Government regulations, industry expectations, professional standards and competitive pressures may encourage organizations to introduce green procurement, waste management and pollution prevention practices. Organizations may also adopt environmental management systems to strengthen their legitimacy and respond to changing market expectations. These institutional influences can determine both the speed and extent of GSCM implementation.

The Triple Bottom Line perspective provides a useful foundation for evaluating corporate sustainability performance. It considers environmental, economic and social responsibilities as interconnected dimensions of organizational sustainability. Environmental performance relates to resource consumption, emissions, pollution prevention and waste management. Economic performance concerns profitability, operating costs, productivity and long-term business viability. Social performance concerns employee welfare, workplace safety, ethical sourcing, community relationships and responsible business practices. This perspective demonstrates that organizational sustainability requires more than environmental improvements alone.

IV. INTEGRATED ORGANIZATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR GSCM

The proposed organizational perspective considers green supply chain implementation as a coordinated management process involving leadership, internal operations and external supply chain relationships. The implementation of GSCM requires environmental objectives to be incorporated into corporate planning and translated into operational practices across different departments.

Leadership commitment is a critical factor influencing the implementation of GSCM. Senior management establishes environmental objectives, allocates financial resources and communicates sustainability priorities across the organization. Without visible management support, green supply chain practices may remain limited to individual departments or short-term initiatives. Organizations should integrate environmental objectives into corporate strategies, procurement policies, operational planning and performance management systems.

Abbas [8] examined the relationship between green supply chain management, leadership and organizational sustainable performance. The study identified leadership as an important organizational factor influencing the adoption of green supply chain practices. This suggests that management commitment and organizational direction are important for ensuring that environmental initiatives become part of routine business activities.

Internal environmental management involves the integration of environmental responsibilities into organizational policies and daily operations. It includes environmental training, monitoring procedures, resource management, compliance activities and continuous improvement. Departments such as procurement, manufacturing, logistics, finance and human resources should coordinate their activities to ensure that environmental objectives are consistently implemented. Internal

environmental management provides the organizational foundation required for connecting individual green practices into a coordinated supply chain strategy.

Supplier collaboration is important because organizations often depend on external partners for raw materials, components and production-related services. Green supplier collaboration may involve environmental performance assessment, joint improvement programmes, supplier training and information sharing. Organizations should establish appropriate environmental criteria for supplier selection while considering product quality, cost, delivery reliability and regulatory compliance. Long-term supplier relationships can support investment in improved environmental technologies and responsible sourcing practices.

Manufacturing operations should incorporate environmental considerations into production planning, equipment management and process improvement. Green manufacturing initiatives can reduce waste, improve energy utilization and encourage responsible material management. Organizations may introduce production technologies that use fewer raw materials, reduce process losses and improve the efficiency of industrial operations. Employee participation is also important because employees directly influence equipment utilization, material handling and production practices.

Supply chain coordination should consider environmental impacts throughout transportation, warehousing and distribution. Organizations can improve logistics performance through optimized transportation planning, better vehicle utilization, efficient packaging and collaboration with logistics providers. Coordination between production schedules and distribution requirements can reduce unnecessary transportation activities and associated resource consumption.

Reverse logistics connects customers and downstream supply chain activities with product recovery and material reuse. Returned products, damaged packaging, manufacturing waste and obsolete equipment may be directed towards recycling, refurbishment or responsible recovery operations. Organizations should identify opportunities to recover economic value from materials and products while ensuring that recovery activities comply with environmental and quality requirements. Circular resource management can strengthen relationships between manufacturers, suppliers, recycling companies and customers.

Employee awareness and organizational culture also influence the success of GSCM implementation. Environmental training and management communication can improve understanding of green operating practices. Organizations should establish clear responsibilities for environmental performance and encourage employee involvement in waste reduction, energy conservation and process improvement. A supportive organizational culture helps ensure that environmental commitments are reflected in actual business operations.

Digital technologies can improve supply chain visibility and support sustainability measurement. Enterprise resource planning platforms, supply chain analytics, Internet of Things systems and digital tracking technologies can provide information about material movement, energy utilization, transportation activities and inventory management. These technologies can help organizations identify operational inefficiencies and monitor

environmental performance across multiple business partners. However, digital monitoring effectiveness depends on data quality, system integration, employee capabilities and supplier participation.

V. CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY PERFORMANCE DIMENSIONS

Environmental performance represents the extent to which an organization reduces the environmental impacts of its supply chain activities. Important areas include greenhouse gas emissions, energy utilization, material consumption, water usage, waste generation and pollution control. Green procurement encourages the selection of materials and suppliers based on environmental characteristics. Green manufacturing supports the reduction of production-related emissions and process waste. Green logistics improves transportation and distribution efficiency, while reverse logistics supports product recovery and material recycling. Environmental performance should be monitored using consistent organizational indicators and reliable operational information.

Economic performance concerns the financial and operational outcomes associated with GSCM implementation. Green supply chain practices may reduce material losses, improve process efficiency, decrease energy consumption and support more effective inventory management. Investment recovery and reverse logistics may create opportunities to recover economic value from previously unused resources. However, green supply chain initiatives can require initial investments in sustainable technologies, supplier assessment, employee training and monitoring systems. Consequently, organizations should distinguish between short-term implementation costs and potential long-term financial benefits.

Sahoo and Vijayvargy [5] found that the relationship between GSCM and economic performance in their Indian manufacturing sample involved indirect effects, highlighting the importance of examining the mechanisms through which environmental practices translate into financial outcomes. This indicates that organizations should not assume that every green supply chain initiative produces immediate financial benefits. Its economic impact depends on implementation costs, process efficiency, resource savings and market conditions.

Social sustainability concerns the effects of organizational supply chain activities on employees, suppliers, customers and communities. Responsible sourcing, workplace safety, fair labour practices and supplier accountability are important elements of social performance. Organizations should ensure that environmental initiatives do not create unacceptable social consequences, such as unsafe working conditions or unfair supplier requirements. Supplier evaluation should therefore consider both environmental management and relevant social responsibility practices. Social sustainability also involves community engagement, employee development and responsible business conduct.

Operational performance relates to the efficiency, quality, reliability and responsiveness of organizational supply chain processes. Green supply chain practices may improve material utilization, production planning, waste management and supplier coordination. Eco-design can simplify production and improve product resource efficiency. Green logistics can improve transportation planning, while reverse logistics can support better

material recovery. However, operational benefits depend on implementation capability, organizational size, technological readiness and supply chain complexity.

Environmental responsibility can also contribute to organizational resilience. Resource-efficient processes may reduce exposure to certain material and energy cost fluctuations. Supplier collaboration may improve supply chain transparency and encourage alternative sourcing practices. Organizations with established environmental management capabilities may also be better positioned to respond to changing customer expectations and sustainability requirements. Nevertheless, GSCM should not automatically be assumed to improve resilience in every context. Its effectiveness depends on the industry, organizational capabilities, external conditions and implementation quality.

VI. RESEARCH PROPOSITIONS AND MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The integrated organizational perspective provides a basis for examining relationships between organizational capabilities, green supply chain practices and corporate sustainability performance. Strategic leadership commitment is expected to positively influence the adoption and integration of GSCM practices because management support can improve environmental planning, resource allocation and employee participation. Green procurement and supplier collaboration are expected to contribute positively to corporate environmental performance through responsible sourcing, supplier development and improved environmental compliance.

Eco-design and green manufacturing are expected to contribute to improved operational and environmental performance by supporting resource-efficient product development and cleaner production processes. Reverse logistics and investment recovery may contribute to resource efficiency and economic performance by creating opportunities to recover value from materials and products that would otherwise become waste. Employee environmental awareness and organizational culture may strengthen the relationship between GSCM adoption and sustainability performance by supporting consistent implementation of environmental policies. Digital technology and supply chain information sharing may further improve the effectiveness of GSCM through better visibility, coordination and environmental performance assessment.

These proposed relationships require empirical validation using appropriate organizational data. Future research may use employee surveys, management interviews, supplier assessments and objective sustainability indicators to examine the relationships across different industries and organizational sizes.

From a managerial perspective, organizations should treat Green Supply Chain Management as a strategic management responsibility rather than a separate environmental compliance activity. Senior management should establish clear sustainability objectives and ensure coordination among procurement, operations, logistics, finance and human resource departments. Procurement managers should include environmental criteria in supplier evaluation while maintaining appropriate standards for cost, quality and delivery performance. Operations managers should identify opportunities for energy conservation, process improvement and waste reduction.

Supply chain managers should improve logistics coordination and evaluate opportunities for reverse logistics and material recovery. Human resource departments should support environmental awareness and employee participation through suitable training programmes. Digital technology investments should focus on improving supply chain visibility, environmental information collection and performance monitoring. These actions may help organizations integrate sustainability considerations into routine business decisions.

VII. IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Green supply chain implementation may require investment in cleaner manufacturing technologies, environmental monitoring systems, energy-efficient equipment and supplier development programmes. These investments may create difficulties for small and medium-sized enterprises with limited financial resources. Organizations should evaluate implementation priorities according to their environmental impact, operational feasibility and available financial capacity.

Supplier resistance and limited collaboration can also restrict GSCM adoption. Some suppliers may lack the financial resources, technical knowledge or organizational capabilities required to meet environmental requirements. Unreasonable environmental criteria may also create additional costs for smaller suppliers. Supplier collaboration should therefore include communication, training and practical improvement programmes.

Employees and managers may resist changes to existing operational practices, particularly when environmental initiatives introduce new responsibilities or performance requirements. Management commitment, employee involvement and internal communication are important for overcoming such resistance. A supportive organizational environment can encourage greater participation in environmental improvement activities.

Organizations may also face difficulties in measuring sustainability performance. Different departments and suppliers may use different methods to evaluate environmental and social outcomes. Inconsistent measurement systems can make it difficult to compare suppliers, business units and organizational performance across reporting periods. Clear sustainability indicators and reliable data collection procedures are therefore necessary for effective performance assessment.

Technological integration is another challenge. Digital supply chain systems may require integration across different software platforms, supplier databases and operational environments. Organizations with limited technological capabilities may experience difficulties in collecting, exchanging and analysing environmental information. Data accuracy and supplier participation are also important considerations.

The present study develops a conceptual organizational perspective using selected published research. It does not report newly collected company survey data or original statistical testing. The proposed research relationships therefore require empirical validation across different industries and geographical contexts. Future studies should investigate differences between large organizations and small and medium-sized

enterprises, as well as the influence of industry characteristics and regulatory environments.

VIII. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research can investigate GSCM adoption across manufacturing, construction, agriculture, retail and logistics industries. Comparative studies can examine whether organizational size, leadership commitment, technological readiness and supplier relationships influence sustainability performance differently across industries. Longitudinal research would also help identify whether GSCM practices produce sustained environmental, economic and social improvements over time.

Further research may investigate the role of artificial intelligence, blockchain, Internet of Things technologies and supply chain analytics in improving environmental information transparency. Empirical studies are also required to examine the relationship between green procurement, reverse logistics, investment recovery and corporate financial performance. Additional research should consider social sustainability outcomes, particularly employee welfare, responsible sourcing and community development.

IX. CONCLUSION

This paper examined Green Supply Chain Management and corporate sustainability performance from an integrated organizational perspective. The discussion established that GSCM extends environmental responsibility beyond individual production activities and incorporates sustainability considerations throughout procurement, product design, manufacturing, logistics, distribution and product recovery.

The proposed organizational perspective emphasizes the importance of leadership commitment, internal environmental management, supplier collaboration, employee participation and digital technology in supporting sustainable supply chain implementation. Corporate sustainability performance was examined across environmental, economic, social and operational dimensions. These dimensions demonstrate that organizational sustainability requires coordinated consideration of environmental protection, resource efficiency, financial viability and social responsibility.

The reviewed studies indicate that GSCM is positively associated with several organizational performance outcomes. However, the strength and nature of these relationships can vary according to industry characteristics, organizational size, geographical context and implementation capabilities. The study also highlights the importance of investment recovery, eco-design, supplier collaboration and internal environmental management in achieving sustainable supply chain objectives.

Overall, Green Supply Chain Management should be integrated into corporate strategy, organizational culture and operational decision-making rather than implemented as an isolated environmental initiative. Organizations that establish coordinated environmental responsibilities across their supply chains may strengthen resource efficiency, stakeholder relationships and long-term sustainability. Future empirical research using organizational survey data, objective environmental indicators and longitudinal performance measurements is necessary to validate the proposed research relationships and identify the most effective GSCM practices across different business environments.

REFERENCES

1. V. Balon, "Green supply chain management: Pressures, practices, and performance—An integrative literature review," Business Strategy & Development, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 226–244, 2020, doi: 10.1002/bsd2.91.
2. H. Holling and L. Backhaus, "A meta-analysis of green supply chain management practices and firm performance," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 6, Art. no. 4730, 2023, doi: 10.3390/su15064730.
3. L. Galdos-Urbizu, E. Zubeltzu-Jaka, A. Erauskin-Tolosa, and I. Alvarez Etxeberria, "The impact of green supply chain management on corporate environmental performance and the moderating roles: A meta-analysis," Business Strategy & Development, vol. 7, no. 1, Art. no. e351, 2024, doi: 10.1002/bsd2.351.
4. L. Vijayvargy, J. Thakkar, and G. Agarwal, "Green supply chain management practices and performance: The role of firm-size for emerging economies," Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 299–323, 2017, doi: 10.1108/JMTM-09-2016-0123.
5. Bhagwat, V. B. (2024). A simplified transition from EBS Payroll to Cloud Payroll: Benefits and Drawbacks. Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 33(6), 463-474.
6. Bhagwat, V. B. (2025). Simplifying Payroll Balance Conversions in Payroll Systems Implementation through the Use of Generative AI.
7. Bajarang Bhagwat, V. (2023). Optimizing Payroll to General Ledger Reconciliation: Identifying Discrepancies and Enhancing Financial Accuracy. JOURNAL OF ADVANCE AND FUTURE RESEARCH, 1 (4).
8. Singh, V. (2026). Real-Time Financial Data Validation Platform for Enterprise Accounting Systems. International Journal of Computer Information Systems & Industrial Management Applications, 18, 467.
9. Singh, V. (2026) 'Dynamic AI tool orchestration framework for enterprise-scale AI agents', Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 11(3s), pp. 2123–2131.
10. Arumilli, S. V. T. (2025). Maintaining Human Oversight Within Agentic Artificial Intelligence-Driven Workflows for Enterprise Operational Decision-Making and Automated Business Process Optimization Systems. Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 34(10), 530-538.
11. Arumilli, S. V. T. (2023). Improving enterprise pricing reliability through automated decision-system approaches for discount management. European Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, 10(10), 179-195.
12. Gurram, A. (2025). Balancing feature parity and continuous capability enhancement during platform migration.
13. Gurram, A. (2024). A resilient framework for detecting and managing schema drift in evolving microservice contracts. International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering.
14. Addepalli, D. N. V. (2025). Evidence-Aware Large Language Model Framework for Explainable Root Cause Analysis in Dynamic Cloud-Native Systems under Partial Observability. International Journal of IT Management and Commerce, 2(3), 34-43.

15. D. N. V. Addepalli, "A Verification-Constrained Framework for Safe Autonomous Remediation and Rollback in Distributed Systems," J. Inf. Syst. Eng. Manag., vol. 11, no. 3s, pp. 2541–2557, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://jisem-journal.com/index.php/journal/article/view/15285
16. Pal, A. (2026). Productivity vs. Compliance: The New Engineering Challenge of AI Coding Assistants in Regulated Codebases. International Journal of Computer Information Systems & Industrial Management Applications, 18, 1248.
17. Rashy, R. A. (2026). Empirical Validation of Predictive Project Controls for Early Schedule-Risk Detection: Analysis of CPM Float Erosion and Milestone Performance in Construction Projects. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Computer Electronics, 2(4), 17-24.
18. Chayka, D. P. (2026). An intelligent framework for customer-centric packaging optimization and operational efficiency across industries. International Journal of Production Research (IJPR), 3(2), 1-19.
19. Chayka, D. P. (2026). The Role of Packaging Automation in Improving Operational Efficiency, Productivity, and Manufacturing Performance in Corrugated Packaging Operations. International Journal of Innovation in Electronics and Computer Information Technology, 3(4), 1-8.
20. Mirani, A. (2026). AI-driven compliance intelligence: A conceptual framework for enterprise financial governance. Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 35(5), 116-131.
21. Koneni, C., & Lokula, S. (2026, June). Silent Data Corruption in Distributed Genai-Augmented Data Pipelines: an Empirical Study of Hallucination-Induced Failure Propagation. In 2026 IEEE 46th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems Workshops (ICDCSW) (pp. 137-143). IEEE.
22. Todupunuri, A. (2024). Explore How AI Can Be Used To Create Dynamic And Adaptive Fraud & Rules That Improve The Detection And Prevention Of Fraudulent & Activities In Digital Banking. International Journal for Innovative Engineering and Management Research, 32(1), 24.
23. Todupunuri, A. (2024). Exploring the use of generative AI in creating deepfake content and the risks it poses to data integrity, digital identities, and security systems. Available at SSRN 5014688.
24. Kolukuri, N. R. (2026). The transformation of customer experience measurement: From satisfaction metrics to behavioral intelligence systems. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 11(3s).
25. Kolukuri, N. R. (2025). Beyond dashboards: Designing decision-centric analytics systems that transform organizational decision-making.